

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,692	09/17/2001	Peter X. Ma	UMJ-105-B (UM1667)	9241
29296 73	10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
JULIA CHURCH DIERKER DIERKER & ASSOCIATES, P.C.			SZEKELY, PETER A	
	BEAVER RD. SUITE 109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 48084-2813			1714	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/936,692	MA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 September 2004.					
•						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific of the specific	<u>01</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no mention of "about 0%" hydrophilic monomer in the specification.
- 3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a hydrophilic/hydrophobic copolymer, does not reasonably provide enablement for a hydrophobic homopolymer. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims are directed to a copolymer. When the hydrophilic monomer is present at 0%, no copolymer exists.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,692 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claim 1, in line 3, contains improper Markush language. The phrase "between about" in claims 1, 4, 5, 11, 17, 18, 21, 25 and 31 is indefinite. See Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016 (Fed. Cir. 1991). In claims 10 and 24, "methylhexane" (before UEDMA) is missing the last "e".

Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 13, line 14, "methylhexane" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 6-12, 13, 14, 22-26, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakabayashi et al. 5,554,669.
- 10. Nakabayashi et al. disclose a water insoluble hydrophilic/hydrophobic copolymer in claim 1, hydrophobic/hydrophilic ratios in claims 9 and 11 and use as hardening agent for glass ionomer cement in column 10, lines 37-38. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,692

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 13. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakabayashi et al. 5,554,669, in view of Tezuka et al. 4,089,890, Wilson et al. 4,569,951, Wilson et al. 4,758,612, Englebrecht 4,872,936, Okayabashi et al. 5,051,453, Kato et al. 5,520,725 or National Res. Dev. Corp. GB 1,507,981.
- 14. All of the cited references have been discussed previously. The secondary references teach the glass ionomer compound claimed by applicants with hydrophilic and hydrophilic/hydrophobic polymers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the glass ionomers recited by the secondary references with the copolymer revealed by Nakabayashi et al.

Art Unit: 1714

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 10/15/04